

Republic of the Philippines

Department of Education

Cordillera Administrative Region



28 MARCH 2025

REGIONAL MEMORANDUM No. 231 · 2025

SURVEY ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF DEPED ORDER 35, S. 2016

To: Assistant Regional Director

All Schools Division Superintendents

All Others Concerned

- 1. In line with the continuous efforts to strengthen policy development and implementation, this Office, through the Human Resource Development Division (HRDD) will conduct a Survey on the Implementation of DepEd Order 35, s. 2016 (The Learning Action Cell as a K to 12 Basic Education Program School-based Continuing Professional Development Strategy for the Improvement of Teaching and Learning).
- 2. The survey aims to gather feedback from all SDO personnel involved in the implementation of the Division/District/School Learning Action Cell to assess the effectiveness, relevance, identify challenges and recommend policy improvements.
- 3. To ensure comprehensive data collection and analysis, Public Schools District Supervisors (PSDS) shall facilitate the participation of the following respondents:
 - a. All Public Schools District Supervisors
 - b. All Education Program Supervisors
 - c. 10 Elementary School Heads
 - d. 10 Secondary School Heads
 - e. 10 Elementary and Secondary Head Teachers
 - f. 15 Elementary and 30 JHS/SHS Master Teachers
 - g. 15 Elementary and 30 JHS/SHS Teachers
- 4. The survey can be accessed via the link https://forms.office.com/r/49W2ikBhHn and shall be completed by the identified respondents on or before April 15, 2025.
- 5. For queries and clarifications, please contact the Human Resource Development Division (HRDD) OIC Chief Rosita C. Agnasi via 09071734621 or through the email address car.neapr@deped.gov.ph.
- 6. Immediate dissemination of and strict compliance with this memorandum is directed.

ESTELA P. LEON-CARIÑO, EdD, CESO III

Director IV / Regional Director

/HRDD/RCA/DexAnd- Survey On The Implementation Of Deped Order 35, S. 2016 March 28, 2025







Address: DepEd-CAR Complex, Wangal, La Trinidad, Benguet, 2601

Telephone No: (074) 422 – 1318 Email Address: car@deped.gov.ph

P DepEd Tayo Cordillera





Learning Action Cell (LAC) Feedback and Survey

This form would like to collect feedback from the schools in the implementation of the DepEd Order 35, s. 2016: The Learning Action Cell as a K to 12 Basic Education Program School-based Continuing Professional Development Strategy for the Improvement of Teaching and Learning

Required	
This form	will record your name, please fill your name.
1. Scho	pols Division Office *
0	Abra
0	Apayao
\circ	Baguio City
0	Benguet
\circ	Ifugao
\circ	Kalinga
\bigcirc	Mt. Province
\circ	Tabuk City
2. Posi	tion *
\circ	Education Program Supervisor
\circ	Public Schools District Supervisor
\circ	School Principal (I-IV)
0	Head Teacher (!-VI)
\circ	Master Teachers (I-IV)
\circ	Teacher (I-III)
3. Gen	der *
\circ	Woman
0	Man
0	Other

4. Governance Leve	el *			
SDO Personne	ı			
Elementary				
Junior High Sc	hool			
Senior High So	thool			
5. DO 35, s. 2016: R	RATIONALE *			
	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Does the rationale reflect the goal to improve the quality of teaching?	0	0	0	0
Does the rationale reflect the goal of a professional learning community?	0	0	0	0
Does the rationale reflect the gaps and issues of why there is a need for a professional learning community?	0	0	0	0
Does the rationale reflect the ideal situation to which the professional learning community targets to achieve?	0	0	0	0

6. DO 35, s. 2016: POLICY STATEMENT *

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
In the policy statement, is Continuing Professional Development within the framework of the School- based Management?	0	0	0	0
Is CPD embodied in the School Improvement Plan?	0	0	0	0
Should Learning Action Cells (LAC) be focused only on nurturing Knowledge, Skills and Attitudes and Competencies of teachers in terms of curriculum instruction, and assessment in their work stations?	0	0	0	0
Are LAC's the school-based communities of practice that are positive, caring and safe spaces?	0	0	0	0
Is the objective to improve the teaching- learning process that will lead to improved learning among the students fully achieved?	0	0	0	0
Is the objective to nurture successful teachers fully achieved?	0	0	0	0
Is the objective to enable teachers to support each other to continuously improve their content and pedagogical knowledge, practice, skills, and attitudes fully achieved?	0	0	0	0
Is the objective to foster a professional collaborative spirit among school heads, teachers, and the community as a whole fully achieved?	0	0	0	

Is the provision on funding requirements for LAC appropriate and sufficient?	0	0	0	0
Is the theoretical framework encompassing of the DepEd's definition of LAC?	0	0	0	0
Are LAC sessions based on needs assessment and priority areas of learning?	0	0	0	0

7. TOPICS FOR LAC SESSIONS: Are they still relevant and need further discussions? *

	Strongly Relevant	Relevant	Not Relevant
Learner diversity and student inclusion	0	0	0
Content and Pedagogy of the K to 12 Basic Education Program	0	0	0
Assessment and Reporting in the K to 12 Basic Education Program	0	0	0
21st Century Skills and ICT Integration in Instruction and Assessment	0	0	0
Curriculum Contextualizatio n, Localization, and Indigenization	0	0	0
Community Linkages and Support	\circ	0	0
School Data	0	0	0
DepEd Thrusts and Policies	0	0	0
Emergent and Urgent Issues or Concerns in Teaching- Learning Process	0	0	0

8. In the LAC Implementation Process, which of the following steps needs updating? *

	Retain	Update	Change
Assessment of Needs	0	\circ	0
Prioritization of Topics / Agenda	0	0	0
Formation of LAC	0	0	0
Composition of LAC	0	\circ	0
LAC Grouping	0	\circ	0
Identification of Appropriate Intervention	0	0	0
Scheduling of Meetings: 1-2 hours a week	0	0	0
Setting up of Human Resources and materials	0	0	0
Assignment of Work	0	0	0
LAC Implementation Norms: Time and Venue, Listening, Confidentiality, Decision Making, Participation, Expectations	0		0
Budget Preparation and Allocation	0	0	0
Writing of LAC Plan and Template	0	0	0
Prioritization and Collaborative disussions	0	0	0
Feedback on Actions and M&E Activities	0	\circ	0

9. Are there areas that need changing/updating in the roles of the following: *

	Retain	Update	Change
School Head as the Lead and organizes LAC	0	0	0
School Head to monitor and evaluate	0	0	0
Facilitating and Implementing effective LACs as School Head Performance Evaluation	0	0	0
School Head to provide administrative support and academic leadership	0	0	0
SDO: CID to provide Technical Assistance	0	0	0
SDO: Identify best practices and highlighting accomplishmen ts and setting up rewards systems	0	0	0
SDO: Systematic monitoring	0	0	0
SDO: Encourage action research about pedagogies and assessment in methods	0	0	0
SDO: District and Division LAC	0	0	0
Region to provide TA to support schools and SDOs in their LAC Practice	0	0	0
Region to monitor school data over time for impact of LAC	0	0	0
Region to highlight and reward LAC Accomplishmen ts	0	0	0
Central Office to conduct rewards system and allocate funds	0	0	0

11.

10. Progress Monitoring and Evaluation *

	Strongly Agree	Neutral	Strongly disagree
M&E in all phases is fully achieved	0	0	0
Critical reflection amongst teachers leading to changes in classroom practice fully met and effective	0	0	0
Increased understanding and knowledge of the curriculum fully met and effective	0	0	0
Changes in teachers' pedagogy or practices which are aimed at improving learners' participation and achievement in school fully met and effective		0	0
Are areas to be monitored fully met?	0	0	0
Are the monitoring methods and tools effective?	0	0	0
Are the documentation, record keeping and reporting sufficient?	0	0	0
Are the limitations and prohibitions achieved?	0	0	0
What provisions of the Policy do you have suggestions for changes or updates? Provide specifics. *			

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Microsoft. The data you submit will be sent to the form owner.

Microsoft Forms