


Republic of the Philippines

Department of Education

Cordillera Administrative Region Wangal La Trinidad, Benguet



April 11, 2022

REGIONAL MEMORANDUM

No. 174.2022022

CALL FOR SUBMISSION OF NOMINEES FOR THE 2022 OUTSTANDING LITERACY PROGRAM AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNITS

To: Assistant Regional Director Schools Division Superintendents SDO ALS Focal Persons All Schools Division Offices All Others Concerned

- 1. Pursuant to DepEd Memorandum No. 022, s. 2022 titled **"2022 National Literacy Awards (NLA),"** the Department of Education through the Literacy Coordinating Council (LCC) shall conduct the 2022 National Literacy Awards (NLA).
- 2. The NLA aims to recognize best literacy practices carried out by the Local Government Units (LGUs) and Non-government Organizations (NGOs) that help alleviate poverty, provide livelihood, address welfare needs, promote freedom, and make education facilities accessible to all concerned sectors of society.
- 3. In this regard, DepEd Regional Office CAR, through the Curriculum & Learning Management Division (CLMD) with the Regional Selection Committee shall facilitate the conduct of the regional selection of entries of the different categories as listed below:

Category	Sub-category	Number of Entry to be Endorsed
1. Outstanding Literacy Program		1
2. Outstanding Local	Highly Urbanized City	2
Government Unit	Independent Component/ Component City	1
	1st to 3rd Class Municipality	1
	4th to 6th Class Municipality	1
	TOTAL	6

Address: Wangal, La Trinidad, Benguet, 2601
Telephone No: (074) 422 – 1318 | Fax No.: (074) 422-4074
Website: www.depedcar.ph | Email Address: car@deped.gov.ph

- 4. The Schools Division Offices (SDOs) shall submit the documents of their nominees in hard and soft copy to the Curriculum and Learning Management Division (CLMD) through car.clmd@deped.gov.ph, Attention: Rosita C. Agnasi, OIC-CLMD on or before May 11, 2022.
- 5. The NLA Regional Selection Committee shall conduct a regional validation and selection of winning regional entries from May 12 to June 3, 2022.
- 6. The members of the NLA Regional Selection Committee CAR are as follows:

	Name	Office/Agency
Chairperson	Estela P. Leon-Carińo EdD, CESO III Regional Director	Department of Education - CAR
Co- Chairperson	Araceli A. San Jose, CESO IV Regional Director	Department of the Interior and Local Government – CAR
	Helen R. Tibaldo Regional Director	Philippine Information Agency - CAR
Members	Susan A. Sumbeling Regional Director	National Economic and Development Authority – CAR
	Dr. Felipe S. Comila University President	Benguet State University
Coordinator	Alfredo B. Lanas Education Program Supervisor	Department of Education - CAR

- 7. Winners in each category shall be endorsed by the committee to the national level on June 6, 2022.
- 8. Details of the timetable, guidelines on the submission and selection process are contained in the following enclosures:
 - Enclosure 1 DepEd Memorandum No. 022, s. 2022
 - Enclosure 2 NLA Guidelines, Timetable of Activities, Nomination Forms, Score Sheets and Documentation Report Form.
- 9. For more information, please contact Rosita C. Agnasi, OIC- CLMD through 09218799962 and/or Alfredo B. Lanas, Regional NLA Regional Coordinator through 09171092802.
- 10. Immediate dissemination of and strict compliance with this memorandum is desired.

ESTELA P. LEON CARIÑO EdD, CESO III

Director IV/Regional Director



Republic of the Philippines

Department of Education

28 MAR 2022

DepEd MEMORANDUM , s. 2022

2022 NATIONAL LITERACY AWARDS

To: Undersecretaries **Assistant Secretaries** Bureau and Service Directors **Regional Directors** Schools Division Superintendents Public Elementary and Secondary School Heads All Others Concerned

- The Department of Education (DepEd), through the Literacy Coordinating Council (LCC), announces the conduct of the 2022 National Literacy Awards (NLA), which will culminate in September, in conjunction with the celebration of the National Literacy Week and International Literacy Day.
- 2. The NLA aims to recognize best literacy practices carried out by local government units (LGU) and nongovernment organizations (NGO), which help alleviate poverty, provide livelihood opportunities, address welfare needs, promote freedom, and make education facilities accessible, among others. The specific objectives of the NLA are to
 - a. contribute to the realization of the goal of universalizing literacy in the Philippines by creating public awareness of and interest in the programs, projects, and activities addressing this concern;
 - b. sustain, expand, and institutionalize literacy efforts by motivating and recognizing individuals, institutions, and LGUs through awards and appropriate recognition;
 - c. encourage the development and replication of innovative, creative, and indigenous literacy programs; and
 - d. bestow honor on and recognition to outstanding government and NGOs for their dedication, commitment, and contribution to the eradication of illiteracy in their respective communities.
- 3. This year, NLA has the following categories:
 - a. Outstanding Local Government Unit—an award given to LGUs that have developed and implemented literacy policies, programs, and projects that created positive and remarkable impacts on the quality of life of their constituents. The Outstanding LGU category is divided into four sub-categories;

- b. **Outstanding Literacy Program**-an award given to NGOs, private organizations, and private educational/academic institutions, that have developed and implemented literacy programs that created positive and remarkable impacts on learners and communities; and
- c. **Special Award of Excellence in Literacy**—an award given to LGUs or NGOs that have been declared as NLA Hall of Fame Awardees and sustained their outstanding literacy programs for at least three years after receiving the award.
- 4. Nominations for outstanding local government unit and literacy program categories shall be submitted by LGUs and NGOs to their respective DepEd Regional Offices (ROs), through the Regional NLA Coordinator, from **April 25 to May 11, 2022**. On the other hand, the nominees for the Special Award of Excellence in Literacy Category shall be identified and notified by the LCC Secretariat.
- 5. To ensure the health and safety of personnel and stakeholders involved during the conduct of field validation, observation of health and safety protocols and compliance with the minimum public health standards based on Inter-agency Task Force (IATF) guidelines and other relevant DepEd and LGU issuances shall be strictly followed.
- 6. The winning regional entries validated by the DepEd ROs shall be submitted to the LCC Secretariat on or before **June 6**, **2022**. They shall be declared as the official regional NLA entries that will vie for the national-level awards. The regional NLA entries shall be composed of the following:
 - a. One entry for the Outstanding Literacy Program Category; and
 - b. Five entries for the Outstanding Local Government Unit Category comprised of
 - i. Two entries from the sub-category of Highly Urbanized City,
 - ii. One entry from the sub-category of Independent Component/Component City,
 - iii. One entry from the sub-category of 1st to 3rd Class Municipality, and
 - iv. One entry from the sub-category of 4th to 6th Class Municipality.
- 7. Details of the timetable, as well as the guidelines on the submission and selection process, are contained in the enclosed guidelines.
- 8. The DepEd, through the LCC Secretariat, shall facilitate the downloading of support funds to select DepEd Regional Offices intended to be utilized in the implementation of activities pertinent to the 2022 NLA. Furthermore, implementing guidelines stipulating the procedures on funds release, utilization, reporting, and liquidation of NLA support funds shall be issued in a separate cover.
- 9. The following documents are enclosed for reference:

Enclosure No. 1 - 2022 NLA Guidelines

Enclosure No. 2 - Timetable of Activities

Enclosure No. 3a - Nomination Form (Outstanding LGU Category)

Enclosure No. 3b - Nomination Form (Outstanding Literacy

Program Category)

Enclosure No. 4a - Score Sheet (Outstanding LGU Category)

Enclosure No. 4b - Score Sheet (Outstanding Literacy Program

Category)

Enclosure No. 5 - Documentation Report Form

10. For more information, please contact the **Literacy Coordinating Council Secretariat**, 2nd Floor, Bonifacio Building, Department of Education Central Office, DepEd Complex, Meralco Avenue, Pasig City through email at lcc@deped.gov.ph or at telephone numbers (02) 8631-0567, (02) 8631-0590, (02) 8635-9996, or telefax number (02) 8631-0579.

11. Immediate dissemination of this Memorandum is desired.

LEONOR MAGTOLIS BRIONES

Secretary

Encls.:

As stated


Reference:

DepEd Memorandum No. 041, s. 2020

To be indicated in the <u>Perpetual Index</u> under the following subjects:

BUREAUS AND OFFICES CELEBRATIONS AND FESTIVALS CONFERENCES OFFICIALS

MCDJ, APA,MPC, <u>DO 2022 National Literacy Awards</u> 0075 - March 17, 2022





Department of Education LITERACY COORDINATING COUNCIL

2022 National Literacy Awards GUIDELINES

A. BACKGROUND

As provided for under Republic Act (RA) 7165, as amended by RA 10122, the Literacy Coordinating Council (LCC) shall "adopt measures for the universalization of literacy," "provide policy and program directions for all literacy endeavors in the country," "recommend strategies on the development of a mass movement for the promotion of life skills and education," "formulate policies towards maximizing public and private sector support and involvement on literacy programs, projects, and activities," and "propose measures on how to organize and strengthen support structures for literacy at the regional, provincial, city, municipal and barangay levels," among others.

The Department of Education (DepEd) and the LCC work with all sectors of society, government agencies, Local Government Units (LGU), and non-government organizations (NGOs) to promote and disseminate the importance of literacy in national progress and subsequently inspire them to develop, implement, and sustain literacy programs and projects throughout the country.

Literacy programs and projects help alleviate poverty, provide livelihood, address social welfare needs, promote freedom, and make education facilities more accessible. These could be achieved through continuing coordination and capacity building activities of various stakeholders and advocates who conduct literacy activities nationwide to address the problem of illiteracy. Their commitment and dedication prove strong and unwavering even in the face of challenges.

To recognize their efforts and significant contributions in universalizing literacy in the Philippines, LCC launched the National Literacy Awards (NLA) in 1994. The NLA, a biennial program, is being conducted every other year in conjunction with the celebration of the National Literacy Week and International Literacy Day.

B. NLA OBJECTIVES

- 1. To contribute to the realization of the goal of universalizing literacy in the Philippines by creating public awareness of and interest in programs, projects, and activities addressing this concern;
- 2. To sustain, expand, and institutionalize literacy efforts by motivating and recognizing institutions and LGUs through awards and appropriate recognition;
- 3. To encourage the development and replication of innovative, creative, and indigenous literacy programs; and

4. To bestow honor on and recognition to outstanding government and NGOs for their dedication, commitment, and contribution to the eradication of illiteracy in their respective communities.

C. 2022 NLA CATEGORIES

1. Outstanding Local Government Unit Category

The Outstanding Local Government Unit award is given to LGUs that have developed and implemented literacy policies, programs, and projects that created positive and remarkable impacts on the quality of life of their constituents.

The Outstanding LGU category is divided into four sub-categories:

- a. Highly Urbanized City;
- b. Independent Component / Component City;
- c. First to Third Class Municipality; and
- d. Fourth to Sixth Class Municipality.

2. Outstanding Literacy Program Category

The Outstanding Literacy Program award is given to NGOs, private organizations, or academic institutions that have developed and implemented literacy programs that created positive and remarkable impacts on learners and communities.

3. Special Award of Excellence in Literacy Category

The Special Award of Excellence in Literacy is given to LGUs or NGOs at least three years after having received the NLA Hall of Fame Award and sustaining their outstanding literacy programs.

D. PROCEDURES

1. Issuance of NLA Guidelines

The Department of Education, through the LCC, shall issue a DepEd Memorandum providing policy guidelines and procedures for the conduct of the 2022 NLA.

2. Information Dissemination and Awareness Campaign

DepEd, through the Public Affairs Service, shall lead the national-level information dissemination and awareness campaign using the official DepEd website and Facebook pages.

LCC and its member agencies shall also promote the NLA among their respective organizations and stakeholders, using all available digital and social media platforms. The LCC Secretariat shall also develop information, education, and communication materials, as well as provide pertinent

information about the awards through https://lcc.deped.gov.ph and www.facebook.com/LiteracyCoordinatingCouncil.

The DepEd Regional Offices (ROs) and Schools Division Offices (SDOs) shall ensure that information and awareness on the NLA are widely disseminated among LGUs, NGOs, and other private organizations and academic institutions in their respective regions.

3. Designation of the Regional NLA Coordinator and Organization of the NLA Regional Selection Committee

The DepEd ROs, through the Regional Directors shall designate a Regional NLA Coordinator. The Alternative Learning System (ALS) Education Program Supervisor or ALS Regional Focal Person, or any other RO official or personnel may be designated for this purpose. The Regional NLA Coordinator shall take the lead in facilitating the conduct of relevant NLA activities at the region, which includes information dissemination, acceptance of NLA nominations, validation and selection of entries, documentation, and endorsement/submission of the regional entries to the LCC Secretariat, among others. He/She shall also ensure that all pertinent guidelines are strictly followed and that the integrity of the regional selection is upheld.

The DepEd RO shall also form the NLA Regional Selection Committee (RSC) that will take charge of the evaluation, validation, selection, and approval of NLA entries at the regional level.

The NLA RSC shall be organized as follows:

Chairperson: Regional Director or Assistant Regional Director, DepEd **Co-Chairperson**: Regional Director, Department of the Interior and Local Government (DILG) or designated representative

Members:

- Regional Director, Philippine Information Agency (PIA) or designated representative
- Regional Director, National Economic and Development Authority (NEDA) or designated representative
- President, any State or Local University/College in the region or designated representative

4. Formation of the NLA National Board of Judges

At the national level, the NLA Board of Judges (BOJ) consisting of three members per category shall be formed by the LCC. Representatives from the different Council member agencies and other experts shall compose the BOJs. They shall be responsible for the screening, evaluation, and validation of regional NLA entries and come up with national winners in each of the NLA categories, for the final approval of the Council. The BOJs shall ensure the integrity and confidentiality of the evaluation results following the guidelines set by the LCC.

5. Functions of the LCC Secretariat

The LCC Secretariat at the DepEd Central Office shall serve as the NLA overall secretariat. With the supervision of the Council, this office shall be in charge of facilitating all activities relative to the conduct of the NLA, including but not limited to the preparation and dissemination of guidelines and procedures, downloading of program support funds to the ROs, provision of technical assistance, acceptance of regional entries, endorsement of entries to the BOJs, field validation, holding of awarding ceremonies, correspondence, and documentation, as well as other related activities, as may be instructed by the Council.

6. Provision of Technical Assistance

The LCC Secretariat, together with the Council representatives, LCC TWG members, and BOJs shall conduct a series of orientation sessions on the 2022 NLA Guidelines. Participants to this capacity-building activity are the representatives from LGUs, NGOs, private organizations, or academic institutions, LCC member agencies, DepEd CO, ROs, and SDOs, ALS community implementors, and other relevant stakeholders and partners. Information about the schedule of orientation sessions shall be disseminated through LCC's various digital and social media platforms.

7. Provision of NLA Program Support Funds

The DepEd, through the LCC Secretariat, shall download program support funds to DepEd ROs intended to be utilized in the implementation of pertinent activities relevant to the 2022 NLA. A separate policy cover shall be issued in this regard.

E. ENTRY QUALIFICATIONS

To qualify as a nominee for the NLA, LGU's or NGO's literacy program/s must already be in existence for at least three (3) years. Below are the specific qualifications to each category:

1. Outstanding Local Government Unit Category

The nominee for this category shall be a city or a municipality and not a specific individual, e.g., local chief executive. Nominees may vie for the specific sub-categories, namely (a) Highly Urbanized City, (b) Independent Component/Component City, (c) 1st to 3rd Class Municipality, and (d) 4th to 6th Class Municipality. Other government organizations involved in the LGU program/project shall be considered as support agencies to the LGU. The focus of the evaluation should be on the literacy development efforts of the various sectors such as education, agriculture, social services, environment, and other groups like youth, elderly, women, IPs, and PWDs, among others.

2. Outstanding Literacy Program Category

Only literacy programs initiated, funded, and implemented by NGOs and private organizations or academic institutions may be nominated.

3. Special Award of Excellence in Literacy Category

Nomination to the Special Award of Excellence in Literacy category shall be extended to the NLA Hall of Fame awardees at least three years after receiving the said award. In this category, candidates shall not be subjected to a regional-level validation. The LCC-assigned BOJ at the national level shall conduct validation activities (in-person and/or virtual) of the literacy programs/projects of the candidate LGU or literacy program. The nominees shall be duly notified by the LCC Secretariat.

F. NOMINATION PROCESS

- 1. Qualified LGUs, NGOs, and other private organizations or academic institutions shall fill out the NLA Nomination Form in their respective categories.
- 2. To become nominated, they shall seek the endorsement and signature of the DepEd Schools Division Superintendent (SDS) of the DepEd SDO in the LGU or where the literacy program is implemented. The SDS may endorse more than one entry per category. In addition, nominees shall also secure the endorsement of **any or both** of the following:
 - a. For the Outstanding LGU category
 - i. Provincial Governor, District Representative, or Board Member; and/or
 - ii. Head of a relevant government agency or a private/people's organization at the city or municipality.
 - b. For the Outstanding Literacy Program category
 - Local Chief Executive where the literacy program is implemented; and/or
 - ii. Head of a relevant government agency or a private/people's organization at the city or municipality where the literacy program is implemented.
- 3. The nominee shall prepare the following requirements and submit them to the DepEd RO on or before May 11, 2022:
 - a. Three (3) copies of fully accomplished NLA Nomination Form; and
 - b. Three (3) copies of Executive Summary. The summary must be a clear, simple, and straightforward summary of not more than five pages in A4 bond paper size, double-spaced, describing, but not limited to, the following:
 - General profile of the LGU or the NGO/Private academic institution
 - Literacy profile of the community
 - Legal bases such as executive orders, ordinances, etc.
 - Implementation strategies and approaches
 - Resources used (human, physical, and financial)
 - Outstanding and remarkable accomplishments

Copy of relevant documents (e.g., municipal/city development plan related to literacy, legal bases, etc.) should be attached, or google drive links should be provided).

G. REGIONAL SELECTION PROCESS

- 1. NLA nominations shall be submitted to DepEd ROs, through their respective Regional NLA Coordinators.
- 2. The DepEd ROs shall receive the NLA nominees in each category from LGUs, NGOs, and other private organizations or academic institutions and endorse them to the NLA Regional Selection Committee for paper evaluation.
- 3. Using the NLA Nomination Form and the Executive Summary of each of the nominees, the RSC members shall evaluate all nominations received for the four LGU sub-categories and for the Literacy Program category. After the paper evaluation, the RSC, presided over by its chairperson, shall select the top three nominees per category.
- 4. The RSC members shall conduct field validation (in-person and/or virtual) of the top three nominees of each category for further verification of their programs. To ensure the health and safety of personnel and stakeholders involved during the conduct of field in-person validation, observance of health and safety protocols and compliance with the minimum public health standards based on IATF guidelines and other relevant DepEd and LGU issuances shall be strictly followed. Interviews with the concerned literacy implementers and beneficiaries shall likewise be administered. Using the prescribed score sheets per category, each RSC member shall rate the nominees being validated.
- 5. Each RSC member shall rank the top three nominees in each category based on the results of the field validation. Afterwards, the RSC shall conduct a deliberation meeting to determine the over-all ranking of the nominees in each category, from highest to lowest. The RSC Chairperson, as the presiding officer, shall vote to break a tie, if there will be any. The nominee with the highest rank in each category shall automatically become the official regional NLA entry and vie for the national-level awards.
- 6. The Regional NLA Coordinator shall immediately notify all winning regional entries in each of the categories and request them to prepare their individual NLA regional entry portfolios required for the national evaluation. The portfolio shall meet the following requirements:
 - 6.1 must be composed of not more than fifty (50) pages in A4 bond paper size, double-spaced;
 - 6.2 must contain only related documents, information, and data;
 - 6.3 must include photographs (size: 3" x 5", not to exceed 20 pieces);
 - 6.4 must be in accordance with the category and criteria, but not limited to those in effect within three years prior to the date of entry; and
 - 6.5 must include an electronic copy of the portfolio saved in a USB drive/flash disk, containing all relevant documents, high resolution photos in jpeg or png format only, and videos in mp4 format, if there are any.
- 7. The Regional NLA Coordinator shall document all stages of the acceptance, validation, and selection process using the NLA Documentation Report Form for submission to the LCC Secretariat, ensuring completeness and accuracy of data and information.

- 8. The Regional NLA Coordinator shall organize the following documents for each of the winning regional entries in all categories, ensuring completeness of requirements, for endorsement to the LCC for national evaluation:
 - 8.1 Three (3) copies of fully accomplished NLA Nomination Forms of the official regional NLA entries (only one official entry per category);
 - 8.2 Three (3) copies of the official regional NLA entries' Executive Summary;
 - 8.3 Three (3) copies of the official regional NLA entry's portfolio;
 - 8.4 One (1) USB drive/flash disk containing the electronic copy of the official regional NLA entry's documents;
 - 8.5 Individual score sheets of the RSC members duly signed by the rater and countersigned by the RSC Chairperson;
 - 8.6 Accomplished NLA Documentation Report Form signed by the Regional NLA Coordinator and approved by the RSC Chairperson; and
 - 8.7 Transmittal sheet with a checklist of enclosures, addressed to the LCC Chairperson.

H. SUBMISSION OF THE OFFICIAL REGIONAL NLA ENTRIES

- 1. The winning regional entries validated by the DepEd ROs shall be submitted to the LCC Secretariat on or before **June 6, 2022.** They shall be declared as the official regional NLA entries that will vie for the national-level awards. It shall be composed of the following:
 - a. One entry for the Outstanding Literacy Program category
 - b. Five entries for the Outstanding Local Government Unit category comprised of
 - Two entries from the sub-category of Highly Urbanized City
 - One entry from the sub-category of Independent Component/Component City
 - One entry from the sub-category of 1st to 3rd Class Municipality
 - One entry from the sub-category of 4th to 6th Class Municipality
- 2. Hard copies of the documentary requirements of all official regional NLA entries, including the USB drive/flash disks containing the electronic files of the documents, shall be transmitted to the DepEd Central Office, addressed to the LCC Chairperson, using the following mailing address:

Department of Education Secretary

LCC Chairperson c/o LCC Secretariat 2nd Floor Bonifacio Building DepEd Complex, Meralco Avenue, Pasig City 1600, Metro Manila

Expenses incurred in the submission of hard copies of the documentary requirements (i.e., transportation and modes of delivery through courier or parcel services) may be charged to the allotted regional NLA support funds or to their local funds.

3. Submission of the official regional NLA entries may also be done online through the link which may be secured upon request from the LCC

Secretariat. Entries submitted beyond the due date shall no longer be accepted.

4. All submitted documents shall become the property of DepEd and LCC.

I. NATIONAL SELECTION PROCESS

- 1. Official regional NLA entries in all categories received by the LCC Secretariat shall be endorsed to their respective BOJs.
- 2. Using the documentary requirements of the entries submitted by the ROs, the members of the BOJ shall evaluate the entries using the set criteria in the score sheets and select **five finalists** from among all regional entries in each NLA category.
- 3. With the assistance of the LCC Secretariat, the BOJs shall conduct field validation (in-person and/or virtual) to the five finalists in each of their respective categories. These may include interviews with literacy program managers, local officials, beneficiaries, community members, and other concerned stakeholders, or as may be requested by the BOJs. The regional entries that will be subjected to national validation shall be duly notified by the LCC Secretariat.
- 4. The BOJs shall rank the five finalists for each category from first to fifth place, based on the results of both the paper evaluation and the field validation, for endorsement to and approval of the Council. The approved decision made by the council shall be final and unappealable. LCC, the BOJs, and the LCC Secretariat shall keep the results strictly confidential.
- 5. The Council members and BOJs shall declare and award the national winners during the NLA awarding ceremonies to be held in September 2022, in conjunction with the National Literacy Week and International Literacy Day celebrations. The exact date of the event shall be communicated by the LCC through its official website and Facebook page. The national winners shall also be duly notified.

J. AWARDS AND PRIZES

- 1. All NLA winners shall receive national-level recognition with monetary and non-monetary prizes.
- 2. LCC partner organization, the Cebuana Lhuillier Foundation, Inc., shall provide cash awards to the winning entries of the NLA's Special Award of Excellence in Literacy category.

Copy of the guidelines for the National Literacy Awards shall also be available on the LCC Website at https://lcc.deped.gov.ph, as well as at the LCC's official Facebook page at www.facebook.com/LiteracyCoordinatingCouncil.

For inquiries, all concerned may contact:

Ms. Marikka Mampusti

Project Development Officer II Literacy Coordinating Council Secretariat Department of Education 2nd Floor, Bonifacio Bldg., DepEd Complex, Meralco Avenue, Pasig City

Or contact/email at:

Tel. nos.: 02) 8631-0567; (02) 8631-0590; (02) 8635-9996,

Telefax: (02) 8631-0579

Email: marikka.mampusti@deped.gov.ph or lcc@deped.gov.ph



(Enclosure No. 2 to DepEd Memorandum $\underline{022}$, s. 2022)

Department of Education LITERACY COORDINATING COUNCIL

2022 National Literacy Awards

TIMETABLE OF ACTIVITIES

Date	Activity	Responsible Office/Person
April 25 to May 11, 2022	Acceptance of nominations from local government units and nongovernment organizations	DepEd Regional Offices (ROs) / Regional NLA Coordinators
May 12 to June 3, 2022	Conduct of regional validation and selection of winning regional entries	DepEd ROs / NLA Regional Selection Committees / Regional NLA Coordinators
June 6, 2022	Deadline for submission of official NLA regional entries to the LCC Secretariat	DepEd ROs / Regional NLA Coordinators
June 13 to August 5, 2022	Evaluation of regional NLA entries, conduct of field validation (in-person and/or virtual), and selection of national NLA winners	LCC Secretariat / NLA Board of Judges
September 2022	2022 NLA Awarding Ceremonies	DepEd CO / Host DepEd Region/ LCC Secretariat

A. LGU PROFILE



Department of Education LITERACY COORDINATING COUNCIL

2022 National Literacy Awards NOMINATION FORM (Outstanding LGU Category)

Please type all answers. Use additional sheets if necessary. Follow the instructions strictly.

Region Number/Name:
Name of City/Municipality, Province:
Type: o Highly Urbanized City
 Component City Independent Component City
 First to Third Class Municipality Fourth to Sixth Class Municipality
Name of City/Municipality Mayor:
Name of LGU's NLA Focal Person:
Contact Details of the NLA Focal Person: Email Address: Cellular Phone Number/s:
Has your LGU joined in the National Literacy Awards (NLA) before? YesNo When? Year/s
If yes, did your LGU qualify for the regional-level validation?YesNo When? Year/s
If yes, did your LGU qualify for the national-level evaluation?YesNo When? Year/s
If yes, did your LGU win?YesNo When? Year/s
If yes, what award/s did your LGU receive?

B. LITERACY PROGRAM PROFILE

Fill-out the details of the Literacy Program/s that serve/s as your LGU's entry to the NLA (minimum of one (1) program or one (1) umbrella program):

No.	Title of Literacy Program/s	Year Started- Year Completed	Type of Beneficiar ies	Number of Beneficiar ies	Number of Literacy Workers	Amount of Budget Allocated
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						

C. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Attach in this nomination form an **Executive Summary** of your NLA entry. It must be a clear, simple, and straightforward summary of not more than five pages in A4 bond paper size, double-spaced, describing, but not limited to, the following:

- General profile of the LGU
- Literacy profile of the community
- Legal bases such as executive orders, ordinances, resolutions, etc.
- Implementation strategies and approaches
- Resources used (human, physical, and financial)
- Outstanding and remarkable accomplishments

Copy of relevant documents (e.g., municipal/city development plan related to literacy, legal bases, etc.) shall be attached, or google drive provided.

NOMINATED BY:

Name	Office and Position
1.	
2	
	est of our knowledge that all information contained in the nd the supporting documents are authentic.
Date Submitted	Signature of Nominators
	1.
	2
	3

Important: Please submit the accomplished Nomination Form, together with the Executive Summary to your respective DepEd Regional Office on or before May 11, 2022.



Department of Education LITERACY COORDINATING COUNCIL

2022 National Literacy Awards NOMINATION FORM (Outstanding Literacy Program Category)

Please type all answers. Use additional sheets if necessary. Follow the instructions strictly.

A. PROPONENT'S PROFILE Region Number/Name: Name of the proponent CSO/NGO/private academic institution: Office Address: Area/s of Operation: Name of the President/Organization Head: Name of proponent's NLA Focal Person: Contact details of the NLA Focal Person: Email Address: Cellular Phone Number/s: Has your organization joined in the National Literacy Awards (NLA) before? ____Yes ____No When? Year/s _____ If yes, did your organization qualify for the Regional-level validation? When? Year/s _____ ____Yes ____No If yes, did your organization qualify for the national-level evaluation? ___Yes ___No When? Year/s_____ If yes, did your organization win? ____Yes ____No When? Year/s_____ If yes, what award/s did your organization receive?

B. LITERACY PROGRAM PROFILE

Fill-out the details of the Literacy Program (or umbrella program) that serves as your organization's entry to the NLA:

No.	Title of Literacy Program /s	Year Started- Year Completed	Type of Beneficiar ies	Number of Beneficiari es	Number of Literacy Workers	Amount of Budget Allocated
1						

C. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Attach in this nomination form an **Executive Summary** of your NLA entry. It must be a clear, simple, and straightforward summary of not more than five pages in A4 bond paper size, double-spaced, describing, but not limited to, the following:

- General profile of your organization
- Literacy profile of the community
- Documents relevant to the program's design, development, and implementation that cite legal bases
- Implementation strategies and approaches
- Resources used (human, physical, and financial)
- Outstanding and remarkable accomplishments

Copy of relevant documents (e.g., program implementation plans, legal bases, etc.) should be attached, or google drive links should be provided.

NOMINATED BY:

Name	Office and Position
1.	
2	
3	
	st of our knowledge that all information contained in this d the supporting documents are authentic.
Date Submitted	Signature of Nominators
	1.
	2
	3

Important: Please submit the accomplished Nomination Form, together with the Executive Summary to your respective DepEd Regional Office on or before May 11, 2022.



Department of Education LITERACY COORDINATING COUNCIL

2022 National Literacy Awards SCORE SHEET

Outstanding LGU Category

Highly Urbanized City
Independent Component/Component City
1st to 3rd Class Municipality
4th to 6th Class Municipality
(Sub-categories)

Name of the LGU:	Sub-category:

	Factors for Evaluation	Score (in %)	Rating
1. Quality	Management and Leadership - 10%		<u> </u>
	egislative support to promote literacy, such as xecutive orders, ordinances, and resolutions	4	
- C d - Ii	competence and ability to provide clear irections (knowledge, expertise, experience) interpersonal relations and teambuilding apacity	2	
- Ii	n the financial system (posted and reported to the public) n decision making (participatory)	2	
	ainability of literacy programs despite sition of leadership	2	
2. Legal l	Bases - 7%		.,
• Fun	ctional Local School Board (LSB)	3	
Pres cour	ence of functional local LCCs (literacy ncils) in city/municipality/barangay, with d Instructional Managers (IMs) or literacy	4	
3. Strateg	ic Implementation - 6%		
prop	lvement of barangays in literacy program osal and submission	2	
	gn of implementation schedules	2	
• Reco	ognition of sectors involved	2	

Vision, Mission, Goals, Objectives, and Agenda – 6% Literacy development is evident in the LGU's vision, mission, goals, objectives, and agenda Development and Annual Investment Plans Literacy in Comprehensive Development Plan/Annual Investment Plans Sustainability plan Literacy programs/projects of other government and non-government agencies in partnership with or localized by the LGU (e.g., DepEd, DOH, DSWD, DA, DENR, NDRRMC, NGO, and other organizations) Support mechanisms (e.g., networks, volunteer program, NSTP, donations, civic activities, etc.) Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs) (Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners 6. Resource Management – 8% Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements 7. Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% Prequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12% Situation Analysis 6. Situation Analysis 6. Situation Analysis 6. Situation Analysis		Factors for Evaluation	Score (in %)	Ratin
vision, mission, goals, objectives, and agenda Development and Annual Investment Plans Literacy in Comprehensive Development Plan/Annual Investment Plan Sustainability plan Literacy programs/projects of other government and non-government agencies in partnership and Collaboration – 9 Literacy programs/projects of other government and non-government agencies in partnership with or localized by the LGU (e.g., DepEd, DOH, DSWD, DA, DENR, NDRRMC, NGO, and other organizations) Support mechanisms (e.g., networks, volunteer program, NSTP, donations, civic activities, etc.) Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners Resource Management – 8% Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements T. Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken B. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%	4. V	ision, Mission, Goals, Objectives, and Agenda – 6%		
Development and Annual Investment Plans Literacy in Comprehensive Development Plan/Annual Investment Plan Sustainability plan Literacy programs/projects of other government and non-government agencies in partnership and Collaboration – 9 Literacy programs/projects of other government and non-government agencies in partnership with or localized by the LGU (e.g., DepEd, DOH, DSWD, DA, DENR, NDRRMC, NGO, and other organizations) Support mechanisms (e.g., networks, volunteer program, NSTP, donations, civic activities, etc.) Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners Resource Management – 8% Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements T. Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken B. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%	•		3	
- Literacy in Comprehensive Development Plan/Annual Investment Plan - Sustainability plan 5. Inter-agency and Inter-stakeholder Partnership and Collaboration – 9 • Literacy programs/projects of other government and non-government agencies in partnership with or localized by the LGU (e.g., DepEd, DOH, DSWD, DA, DENR, NDRRMC, NGO, and other organizations) • Support mechanisms (e.g., networks, volunteer program, NSTP, donations, civic activities, etc.) • Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners 6. Resource Management – 8% • Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development • Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate • Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries • Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking • Budget and financial statements 7. Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% • Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) • Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%				
Plan/Annual Investment Plan Sustainability plan 5. Inter-agency and Inter-stakeholder Partnership and Collaboration – 9 • Literacy programs/projects of other government and non-government agencies in partnership with or localized by the LGU (e.g., DepEd, DOH, DSWD, DA, DENR, NDRRMC, NGO, and other organizations) • Support mechanisms (e.g., networks, volunteer program, NSTP, donations, civic activities, etc.) • Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners 6. Resource Management – 8% • Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development • Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate • Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries • Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking • Budget and financial statements 7. Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% • Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) • Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Sustainability plan Literacy programs/projects of other government and non-government agencies in partnership with or localized by the LGU (e.g., DepEd, DOH, DSWD, DA, DENR, NDRRMC, NGO, and other organizations) Support mechanisms (e.g., networks, volunteer program, NSTP, donations, civic activities, etc.) Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners Resource Management – 8% Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken B. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%			3	
Literacy programs/projects of other government and non-government agencies in partnership with or localized by the LGU (e.g., DepEd, DOH, DSWD, DA, DENR, NDRRMC, NGO, and other organizations) Support mechanisms (e.g., networks, volunteer program, NSTP, donations, civic activities, etc.) Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners CRESOURCE Management – 8% Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements T. Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken B. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%		•		
and non-government agencies in partnership with or localized by the LGU (e.g., DepEd, DOH, DSWD, DA, DENR, NDRRMC, NGO, and other organizations) Support mechanisms (e.g., networks, volunteer program, NSTP, donations, civic activities, etc.) Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners Resource Management – 8% Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements T. Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken B. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%	5. In	iter-agency and Inter-stakeholder Partnership and (Collaboratio	on – 9%
and non-government agencies in partnership with or localized by the LGU (e.g., DepEd, DOH, DSWD, DA, DENR, NDRRMC, NGO, and other organizations) Support mechanisms (e.g., networks, volunteer program, NSTP, donations, civic activities, etc.) Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners Resource Management – 8% Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements T. Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken B. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%		Litary and any and any and a state of ather any and any		1
or localized by the LGU (e.g., DepEd, DOH, DSWD, DA, DENR, NDRRMC, NGO, and other organizations) • Support mechanisms (e.g., networks, volunteer program, NSTP, donations, civic activities, etc.) • Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners 6. Resource Management – 8% • Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development • Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate • Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries • Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking • Budget and financial statements 1 7. Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% • Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) • Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%	•		3	
DA, DENR, NDRRMC, NGO, and other organizations) Support mechanisms (e.g., networks, volunteer program, NSTP, donations, civic activities, etc.) Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners 6. Resource Management – 8% Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements 7. Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%			•	
organizations) Support mechanisms (e.g., networks, volunteer program, NSTP, donations, civic activities, etc.) Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners Resource Management – 8% Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements Tomolitoring and Evaluation – 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken Budget and Needs-Analysis – 12%				
Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners 6. Resource Management - 8% Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements 7. Monitoring and Evaluation - 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis - 12%				
Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken Budget and Needs-Analysis – 12%	•	Support mechanisms (e.g., networks, volunteer	3	
(MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners 6. Resource Management – 8% • Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development • Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate • Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries • Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking • Budget and financial statements 1 7. Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% • Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) • Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%				
and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners 6. Resource Management - 8% • Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development • Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate • Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries • Support of donors/ benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking • Budget and financial statements 1. 7. Monitoring and Evaluation - 6% • Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) • Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis - 12%	•		3	
6. Resource Management - 8% Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements 7. Monitoring and Evaluation - 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis - 12%		, ,,		į
Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%				
literacy development Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements 1 Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%	6. R	esource Management – 8%		
 Special Education Fund (SEF) utilization rate Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken Data and Needs-Analysis – 12% 	•		2	
 Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken Data and Needs-Analysis – 12% 				
 community workers and beneficiaries Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken Data and Needs-Analysis – 12% 	•			
 Support of donors/benefactors, description of their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements Monitoring and Evaluation - 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken Data and Needs-Analysis - 12% 	•		2	
their activities, level of their participation, and extent of networking Budget and financial statements 7. Monitoring and Evaluation – 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%			•	
extent of networking Budget and financial statements 7. Monitoring and Evaluation - 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis - 12%	•	• •	1	
 Budget and financial statements Monitoring and Evaluation - 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken Budget and financial statements 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 12% 		• •		
 7. Monitoring and Evaluation - 6% Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 3 actions taken 3 actions taken 	•		1	
 Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation) Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken Data and Needs-Analysis – 12% 				
(before, during, and after program implementation) • Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%	7. M	onitoring and Evaluation – 6%		
implementation) • Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%	•		3	
Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%				
actions taken 8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%				
8. Data and Needs-Analysis – 12%	•		3	
	8. D			
• Situation Analysis 6				
	•		6	
- Community-Based Monitoring System (CBMS), literacy mapping, or other similar mechanisms		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

	Factors for Evaluation	Score (in %)	Rating
	- Background information on: Total number of		
	population (male/female; age; specific groups		!
	such as Indigenous People, street children,		
	senior citizens, etc.)		
	- Socio-economic status, education profile of		
	target group/community/beneficiaries		
	- Total number of non-literates (male/female;		
	age; specific groups such as Indigenous People,		
	street children, senior citizens, etc.)		
•	Relevance/Responsiveness of literacy programs to community needs	2	
•	Conduct of consultative sessions with beneficiaries and community workers	2	
•	Interventions during the COVID-19 pandemic and	2	
	towards the new normal, as well as other crisis and emergencies		
9. In	formation Dissemination – 5%		
•	Use of varied communication platforms to promote	5	
	literacy program to and mobilize the public (printed		
	and digital/online platforms)		
10.	Documentation - 6%		
-	Documentation and records keeping (e.g., record of	3	
_	attendance of program participants or	•	
	beneficiaries, photo/video documentation, etc.)		
•	Program status reports	1	
<u>-</u> _	Documentation of success stories	2	-
11.	Effects/Impact (Individual [Learner] or Collective	[Communi	ty]) – 25 '
•	Increased number of literates (e.g., 75% of total	5	
	number of non-literates reached)		
•	Improved economic status/development in the	4	
	community (e.g., no. of employed, livelihood		
	opportunities, student financial assistance)		
•	Improved health and nutrition, sanitation, and	4	
	hygiene		
•	Improved environmental protection program (e.g.,	4	
	waterways, waste disposal, etc.)		
	T 1 1* A . *11	4	
•	Improved disaster resiliency		_
•	Improved disaster resiliency Improved peace and order conditions	4	

Remarks:		
N	LA RSC or BOJ Member/Evaluate	or
	(Signature over Printed Name)	
	RSC or BOJ Chairperson	
	(Signature over Printed Name)	
_	Date	



(Enclosure No. 4b to DepEd Memorandum 022, s. 2022)

Department of Education LITERACY COORDINATING COUNCIL

2022 National Literacy Awards SCORE SHEET

Outstanding Literacy Program Category (Civil Society Organizations, Nongovernment Organizations, Private Organizations, or Private Education/Academic Institutions)

Title of Literacy Program:	
Name of Proponent:	

Factors for Evaluation	Score (in %)	Rating
1. Quality Management and Leadership - 10%		
 Policies Policy support to promote literacy (e.g., policy issuance, memoranda, guidelines, resolutions, etc.) 	4	
Qualities of the Leader Competence and ability to provide clear directions (knowledge, expertise, experience) Interpersonal relations and teambuilding capacity	2	
 Transparency and Accountability In the financial system (posted and reported to the public) In decision making (participatory) 	2	
Sustainability of literacy programs despite transition of leadership	2	
2. Legal Bases – 7%		
 Documents relevant to the program's design, development, and implementation that cite legal bases 	2	
 Organizational structure of the CSO/NGO/academic institution 	3	
Presence of Project Management Team (PMT) / Workforce	2	
3. Strategic Implementation - 6%		
Involvement of the community in literacy program proposal and submission	2	

	Factors for Evaluation	Score (in %)	Rating
•	Design of implementation schedules	2	
•	Recognition of sectors involved	2	
4. V	ision, Mission, Goals, Objectives, and Agenda – 6%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	Literacy development is evident in the organization's vision, mission, goals, objectives, and agenda	3	
•	Sustainability and institutionalization plan	3	
5. Ir	nter-agency and Inter-stakeholder Partnership and (Collaboratio	on - 6%
•	Partnership with LGUs, government agencies, and other government and private organizations	3	
•	Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) and other forms of agreement between LGU and external partners	3	
6. R	esource Management – 10%		
•	Budget and financial statements	2	
•	Percentage of budgetary allotment to community literacy development	3	
•	Administration of capacity building programs for community workers and beneficiaries	3	
•		2	
7. M	onitoring and Evaluation – 6%		
•	Frequency of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) (before, during, and after program implementation)	3	
•	Documentation of problems encountered, and actions taken	3	
8. D	ata and Needs-Analysis – 12%		
•	Situation Analysis - Literacy mapping or other similar mechanisms - Background information on Total population (male/female; age; specific groups such as Indigenous People, street children, senior citizens, etc.) - Socio-economic status, education profile of target group/community/beneficiaries	6	

	Factors for Evaluation	Score (in %)	Rating	
·······	- Total number of non-literates (male/female;			
	age; specific groups such as Indigenous People,			
	street children, senior citizens, etc.)			
•	Literacy Relevance/Responsiveness of literacy	2		
	program to community needs			
•	Conduct of consultative sessions with	2		
	beneficiaries and community workers			
•		2		
	towards the new normal, as well as other crisis			
	and emergencies			
9. Ir	oformation Dissemination - 5%			
•	Use of varied communication platforms to promote	5		
	literacy program to and mobilize the public (printed			
	and digital/online platforms)			
10.	Documentation - 7%			
	Documentation and records keeping (e.g., record	3		
•	of attendance of program participants or	•		
			ı	
	beneficiaries, photo/video documentation, etc.)	2		
•		2 2		
•	beneficiaries, photo/video documentation, etc.) Program status reports	2	ty]) - 25 °	
11.	beneficiaries, photo/video documentation, etc.) Program status reports Documentation of success stories Effects/Impact (Individual [Learner] or Collective	2	ty]) – 25 °	
11.	beneficiaries, photo/video documentation, etc.) Program status reports Documentation of success stories Effects/Impact (Individual [Learner] or Collective Increased number of literates (e.g., 75% of total	2 [Communi	ty]) - 25°	
11.	beneficiaries, photo/video documentation, etc.) Program status reports Documentation of success stories Effects/Impact (Individual [Learner] or Collective Increased number of literates (e.g., 75% of total number of non-literates reached)	2 [Communi	ty]) - 25	
11.	beneficiaries, photo/video documentation, etc.) Program status reports Documentation of success stories Effects/Impact (Individual [Learner] or Collective Increased number of literates (e.g., 75% of total number of non-literates reached) Improved economic status/development in the	2 Communi	ty]) - 25 °	
11.	beneficiaries, photo/video documentation, etc.) Program status reports Documentation of success stories Effects/Impact (Individual [Learner] or Collective Increased number of literates (e.g., 75% of total number of non-literates reached)	2 Communi	ty]) – 25	
11.	beneficiaries, photo/video documentation, etc.) Program status reports Documentation of success stories Effects/Impact (Individual [Learner] or Collective Increased number of literates (e.g., 75% of total number of non-literates reached) Improved economic status/development in the community (e.g., no. of employed, livelihood opportunities, student financial assistance)	2 Communi	ty]) - 25	
• 11.	Program status reports Documentation of success stories Effects/Impact (Individual [Learner] or Collective Increased number of literates (e.g., 75% of total number of non-literates reached) Improved economic status/development in the community (e.g., no. of employed, livelihood opportunities, student financial assistance)	2 [Communi 6 4	ty]) – 25 °	
• 11.	beneficiaries, photo/video documentation, etc.) Program status reports Documentation of success stories Effects/Impact (Individual [Learner] or Collective Increased number of literates (e.g., 75% of total number of non-literates reached) Improved economic status/development in the community (e.g., no. of employed, livelihood opportunities, student financial assistance) Improved health and nutrition, sanitation, and hygiene	2 [Communi 6 4	ty]) - 25	
• 11.	Program status reports Documentation of success stories Effects/Impact (Individual [Learner] or Collective Increased number of literates (e.g., 75% of total number of non-literates reached) Improved economic status/development in the community (e.g., no. of employed, livelihood opportunities, student financial assistance) Improved health and nutrition, sanitation, and	2 (Communi 6 4	ty]) - 25	
11.	Program status reports Documentation of success stories Effects/Impact (Individual [Learner] or Collective Increased number of literates (e.g., 75% of total number of non-literates reached) Improved economic status/development in the community (e.g., no. of employed, livelihood opportunities, student financial assistance) Improved health and nutrition, sanitation, and hygiene Improved environmental protection program (e.g., waterways, waste disposal, etc.)	2 (Communi 6 4	ty]) - 25	
• 11.	Program status reports Documentation of success stories Effects/Impact (Individual [Learner] or Collective Increased number of literates (e.g., 75% of total number of non-literates reached) Improved economic status/development in the community (e.g., no. of employed, livelihood opportunities, student financial assistance) Improved health and nutrition, sanitation, and hygiene Improved environmental protection program (e.g., waterways, waste disposal, etc.)	2 [Communi 6 4 4 4	ty]) - 25	
• 11.	Program status reports Documentation of success stories Effects/Impact (Individual [Learner] or Collective Increased number of literates (e.g., 75% of total number of non-literates reached) Improved economic status/development in the community (e.g., no. of employed, livelihood opportunities, student financial assistance) Improved health and nutrition, sanitation, and hygiene Improved environmental protection program (e.g., waterways, waste disposal, etc.) Improved peace and order conditions	2 (Communi 6 4 4 4 4 4	ty]) - 25	

NLA RSC or BOJ Member/Evaluator	
(Signature over Printed Name)	
(Digitature over Timea Hame)	
RSC or BOJ Chairperson	
(Signature over Printed Name)	
(Signature over Trinted Hame)	
Date	
	

.



(Enclosure No. 5 to DepEd Memorandum 022, s. 2022)

Department of Education LITERACY COORDINATING COUNCIL

2022 National Literacy Awards DOCUMENTATION REPORT FORM

awareness
nization o

B.	Fill	-out	the	tab	le 1	be!	low:
----	------	------	-----	-----	------	-----	------

Name of Regional NLA Coordinator	
Position	
Email Address	
Telephone/Cellphone Number/s	

NLA Regional Selection Committee						
Name Position Agency						
Chairperson						
Co-chairperson			- Constant and the Cons			
Committee Member 1			The second secon			
Committee Member 2						
Committee Member 3						

Part 3. Regional Selection

	Describe below the steps undertaken in the acceptance of NLA nominations:
-	

B. Summary of NLA Nominations Received by the RO

		Outstanding I (Name of LGU	Outstanding Literacy Program Category			
No.	Highly Urbanized City	Independent Component/ Component City	1st to 3rd Class Municipality	4th to 6th Class Municipality	Title of Literacy Program	Name of Proponent
1				- 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10		****
2						
3		The second secon				The state of the s
4						
5			The state of the s		Control And Andrews	
6						
7						
8						The second section of the second section is a second section of the section of th
9						Andrews & Proceedings of the Control
10						
11						
12						
13						
14		111111111111111111111111111111111111111				
15	See a la se de de de la Compagna de de la compagna					
16						
17			The second secon			
18			And the second s			and the street of the street o
19		The second secon			The second secon	The second secon
20		AND THE STATE OF T				
TOTAL						

^{*}Kindly use a separate sheet if needed.

C. Top Three (3) Nominees that Qualified for Regional Validation

		Outstanding LG (Name of LGU ar	Outstanding Literacy Program Category			
No.	Highly Urbanized City	Independent Component/ Component City	1st to 3rd Class Municipality	4th to 6th Class Municipality	Title of Literacy Program	Name of Proponent
1						
2						
3	The second secon	And Artist				

Describe below the validation process that took place:					
				······································	
					····
			111111111111111111111111111111111111111		

E. List of Winning Regional Entries to Vie for National Evaluation (Complete documentary requirements shall be submitted to the LCC Secretariat on or before June 6, 2022)

Outstanding LGU Category				Outstanding Literacy Program Category		
Sub-categories	Name of LGU/Province		Title of Literacy Program		Name of Proponent	
	1					
Highly Urbanized City	2					
Independent						
Component /	1		1			
Component City			1			
1st to 3rd Class	,					
Municipality	1 1					
4th to 6th Class	1					
Municipality	1					

2022 N	LA:	nat you encountered, as well as recommendations regarding the
Prepare		Approved by:
	Regional NLA Coordinator Signature	RSC Chairperson Signature
	Date:	Date: